Substituent Effects on the Formation Constants of Substituted Acetophenone-lodine Complexes

By G. Guihéneuf, M. H. Ducoin, and C. Laurence,* Laboratoire de Spectrochimie Moléculaire, U.E.R. de Chimie, B.P. 1044, 44037 Nantes Cedex, France

The formation constants of complexes of iodine with 11 meta- and para-substituted acetophenones are reported for heptane solutions at 25° and correlated by various linear free energy relationships. Neither σ , σ^+ , or a combination of σ^0 (or σ^n) and σ^+ satisfactorily correlate the data. Dual substituent parameter equations furnish better results and show that the effects of meta-substituents are not of the σ^0 type whilst those of para-substituents are of the $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}^{+}$ type. The Thirot equation gives the best empirical fit to the data.

In a recent publication,¹ the difficulties encountered in distinguishing between neighbouring formation constants of complexes were solved by directly comparing their values. The tests carried out on iodine complexes with various carbonyl compounds have shown that this method can be applied when the ratio of the constants is near unity and the electron donors have similar structures. Substituted acetophenones meet these conditions, and the values of the $\hat{K}: K_0$ ratios, where K_0 is the constant for acetophenone and K those for the substituted compounds have thus been determined. Previously Foster and Goldstein,² using the Ketelaar method, determined K for some any ketone-iodine complexes and noted that the Hammett equation held for equilibrium constants of formation of four *para*-substituted acetophenone-iodine complexes. The accuracy gained by employing our method is demonstrated by the ± 0.03 and ± 0.02 errors (95% confidence level) compared with the probable errors (50% confidence level) ± 0.14 for $K_{p-\text{CH}_s}$: K_0 and ± 0.15 for $K_{p-\text{Cl}}: K_0$ quoted by Foster and Goldstein when standard procedures were employed. Because we have more numerous and accurate data, the applicability of linear free energy relationships to the formation equilibrium of substituted acetophenoneiodine complexes may be more thoroughly discussed.

RESULTS

Equilibrium constants and corresponding free energy variations are shown in Table 1. Fits of $\log K : K_0$ by a least-square treatment to Hammett, Brown, different dualsubstituent parameter, and Thirot equations are given in Tables 2—4. Standard deviations, correlation coefficients, and following Taft's suggestion,³ the quantity standard deviation/root mean square $\equiv f$, were calculated. Experience has shown that correlations of good precision are those with $f \leq 0.1$.

DISCUSSION

The Hammett, Brown, and Yukawa–Tsuno Equations.– The Hammett equation (1) is not considered satisfactory as f = 0.36. In particular electron attraction by m-CF₃ is stronger than p-CF₃, while σ_{p -CF₄ is greater than $\log K/K_0 = -0.58\sigma_{m,p} + 0.025$ (1)

 σ_{m-OF_s} . Similarly *m*-OMe becomes electron repelling ¹ M. H. Ducoin, G. Guiheneuf, and B. Wojtkowiak, J. Chim. phys., 1974, 71, 448.

J. Foster and M. Goldstein, Spectrochim. Acta, 1968, 7A, 807. ³ S. Ehrenson, R. T. C. Brownlee, and R. W. Taft, Progr. Phys. Org. Chem., 1973, 10, 3.

while its σ_m value is positive, and p-F has an effect almost identical with that of hydrogen even though its value is significantly different from zero.

TABLE 1

Thermodynamic data for substituted acetophenoneiodine complexes in n-heptane at 25°

		K	$\delta \Delta G/$
Substituent	K/K_0^{a}	1 mol ⁻¹	cal mol ⁻¹ °
p-OMe	1.69 ± 0.08	1.94	311 ± 30
∲-Me	$1\cdot 25 \pm 0\cdot 03$ b	1.44	132 ± 14
p-F	0.81 ± 0.015	0.93	-125 ± 11
p-C1	0.75 ± 0.02 °	0.82	-170 ± 16
p-CF ₃	0.57 ± 0.015	0.66	-333 ± 16
Ĥ	1	1.15	0 -
m-OMe	1.19 ± 0.03	1.37	103 ± 15
m-Me	1.12 ± 0.02	1.29	67 ± 11
<i>m</i> -Cl	0.64 ± 0.02	0.74	-264 + 19
m-Br	0.66 ± 0.02	0.76	-246 ± 18
m-CF.	0.50 ± 0.02	0.58	-410 + 25

^a Determined by the direct comparison of constants. The reproducibility is given at the 95% confidence level usually obtained. ^b Foster's value 1.23 ± 0.14 . ^c Foster's value 0.58 ± 0.15 . ^d Absolute values calculated from the K/K_0 ratio and K_0 1.15 ± 0.04 1 mol⁻¹ determined by Rose and Drago's method (N. J. Rose and R. S. Drago, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1959, **81**, 6138). ^e $\delta\Delta G = -298 \operatorname{Rlog}(K/K_0)$.

TABLE 2

Fit of log	K/K_0	to the	Hammett	and	Brown	equations
------------	---------	--------	---------	-----	-------	-----------

				-
Substituent constant	çª	Standard deviation	Correlation coefficient	f
σ_m	-0.64	0.072	0.908	0.43
σ_p	-0.26	0.054	0.959	0.35
$\sigma_{m,p}$	-0.58	0.061	0.936	0.36
σ_m^+	-0.59	0.046	0.963	0.27
σ_p^+	-0.35	0.050	0.964	0.32
$\sigma_{m,p}^+$	-0.38	0.065	0.927	0.38

"Susceptibility of the equilibrium to substituent effects; number of points n = 6.

If the Hammett σ is replaced by the Brown $\sigma_{m,p}^{+}$ factor ⁴ which is better adapted to +R functional groups (*i.e.* the acetyl group), the value of f(0.38) is even worse. In fact it is desirable to examine the para- and metaseries separately, as Hine⁵ demonstrated theoretically and Laurence and Berthelot ⁶ confirmed experimentally for the relationship between $\nu(CO)$ for acetophenones and σ^+ . This process gives significantly different coefficients $(\rho_m \ 0.59, \ \rho_p \ -0.35)$ at a confidence level ranging from

⁴ O. Exner, in 'Advances in Linear Free Energy Relation-ships,' eds. N. B. Chapman and J. Shorter, Plenum Press, London, 1972, p. 2. ⁵ J. Hine, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1959, **81**, 1126.

⁶ M. Berthelot and C. Laurence, Canad. J. Chem., in the press.

95 to 98% * and more satisfactory values of $f(f_m \ 0.27)$ f_p 0.32). The unusual behaviour of the points *m*- and p-CF₃ can thus be explained by the fact that log K/K_0 is more sensitive to the effect of *meta*-substituents.

For the para-series, the Yukawa-Tsuno relationship⁴ (2) may also be applied either with the Taft σ^0 factor ⁴ or

$$\log K/K_0 = \rho[\sigma_p^0 + r(\sigma_p^+ - \sigma_p^0)]$$
(2)

the Wepster σ^n factor.⁷ Consequently the f values are better † though they are still far from the limit recommended by Taft.

Dual Substituent Parameter Equations.—These may be

is that its attracting inductive effect overcomes its repelling π delocalisation effect.

para-Substituent Effects.—Only the Taft σ_R^+ parameter, which gives f 0.15, comes near the limit of a good correlation. For the other parameters f ranges from 0.32 to 0.40. The equilibria investigated obviously belong to the σ_{R}^{+} type, which corresponds to greater polarisation of the carbonyl group in the complex than in the free state. The increasing π electron demand at the reaction centre thus explains the unusual behaviour of the p-F substituent in which the repelling π -delocalisation effect almost completely cancels the attracting inductive effect.

TABLE 3						
Fit of log K/K_0 to various dual substituent parameter equations ^a						

Parameters		۹ <i>۲</i> ه	ρ _R ^c	P_R^{d}	Standard deviation	Correlation coefficient	
σ_I, σ_R^0	112	-0.59	-0.20	46	0.025	0.992	0.12
	Þ	-0.57	-0.66	54	0.060	0.961	0.39
$\sigma_I, \sigma_{R(BA)}$	m	-0.58	-0.39	40	0.010	0.999	0.06
,	Þ	-0.28	-0.52	47	0.049	0.974	0.32
σ_I, σ_R^+	้าก	-0.56	-0.24	30	0.012	0.996	0.10
	Þ	-0.53	-0.34	39	0.023	0.995	0.12
σ_{I}', σ_{R}'	์ท	-0.64	0.46	42	0.014	0.997	0.08
	Þ	-0.67	-0.56	46	0.063	0.958	0.41
F. R	112	-0.34	-0.44	56	0.016	0.997	0.10
	Þ	-0.35	-0.55	63	0.062	0.959	0.40
σ ⁰ , Δσ+ e	Þ	-0.46 g	-0.23 h		0.044	0.979	0.28
σ^n , $\Delta \sigma^+ f$	₽	-0·50 g	-0.24 h		0.040	0.983	0.26

^{*a*} n = 6. ^{*b*} Sensitivity of the equilibrium to inductive effects. ^{*c*} Sensitivity of the equilibrium to π delocalisation effects. ^{*d*} Percentage π delocalisation. ^{*e*} $\Delta \sigma^+ = \sigma_p^- - \sigma_p^0$. ^{*f*} $\Delta \sigma^+ = \sigma_p^- - \sigma_p^-$. ^{*f*} ρ In the Yukawa-Tsuno equation. ^{*h*} ρr .

TABLE 4 Fit of log K/K_{a} to the Thirot equation ^a

		110	01 10g 11/110 to the	i mior equance	Standard	Correlation	
Parameters		PI	$\rho_{R_1} b$	$\rho_{R_{\bullet}}$	deviation	coefficient	
σ ^μ , Δσ ₁	m	-0.13	0.10		0.024	0.993	0.14
σ^{H} , $\Delta \sigma_{1}$, $\Delta \sigma_{2}$	Þ	-0.095	0.076	0.078	0.016	0.998	0.10

" n = 6. Sensitivity of the equilibrium to π delocalisation effects of the first type. Sensitivity of the equilibrium to π delocalisation effects of the second type.

written as (3) where σ_i and σ_r may be Taft σ_I , σ_R^0 , σ_I , $\sigma_{R(BA)}$ or σ_{I} , σ_{R}^{+} factors,³ Exner σ_{I}' , σ_{R}' factors,⁴ or Swain and Lupton \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{R} factors.⁴ The contribution

$$\log K/K_0 = \rho_I \sigma_i + \rho_R \sigma_r \tag{3}$$

of the electronic effect has been defined as $P_R = 100 \rho_R/$ $(\rho_I + \rho_R)$ where P_R is the percentage of delocalisation.⁸

meta-Substituent Effects.-All the parameters used give $f \leq 0.1$, except σ_R^0 which gives f = 0.15. In the equilibria studied, the effects of meta-substituents cannot be considered to be of the σ^0 type. For all the *meta*correlations the percentage of π delocalisation (Table 3) is abnormally high in relation to that generally found for meta-substituents (e.g., the separation of σ_m into σ_I and $\sigma_{R(BA)}$ gives $P_R 25$).⁸ Consequently the best explanation of the electron-repelling behaviour of the *m*-OMe group

[‡] The symbols, ρ_I , ρ_{R_1} , and ρ_{R_2} used in this paper have been substituted for Thirot's ρ , r, and s.

The Thirot Equation.⁹—This has been applied to both *meta-* and *para-substituents* [equations (4) and (5)].

$$\log K/K_0 = \rho_I \sigma^{\mathrm{H}} + \rho_{R_1} \Delta \sigma_1 \tag{4}$$

$$\log K/K_0 = \rho_I \sigma^{\mathrm{H}} + \rho_{R_1} \Delta \sigma_1 + \rho_{R_2} \Delta \sigma_2 \qquad (5) \ddagger$$

The Thirot parameters give a better value of the sum $f_m + f_p$ (0.24) than σ_I , σ_R^+ (0.25), σ_I , $\sigma_{R(BA)}$ (0.38), σ_I' , σ_R' (0.49), or \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{R} (0.50). The success of the Thirot equation may be due simply to the greater number of parameters. Indeed both the number and kind of substituent data within our series are critical since the substituents NH₂ and NO₂ were not available (because of low solubility of nitro- and amino-acetophenones in heptane). Moreover the empirical definition of $\Delta \sigma_1$ and $\Delta \sigma_2$, the rather infrequent use of the equation to date, ¹⁰⁻¹³

⁷ A. J. Hoefnagel and B. M. Wepster, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1973, **95**, 5357. ⁸ M. Charton, Progr. Phys. Org. Chem., 1973, **10**, 84.

- ⁹ G. Thirot, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1967, 739.
 ¹⁰ G. Thirot, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1967, 3559; 1968, 1989.
 ¹⁰ G. Thirot, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1967, 3559; 1968, 1989.

C. Hinde, Date. Soc. Chim. France, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997.
 C. Kirsche and C. Caullet, Compt. rend., 1971, 272, 1331.
 J. Mayer, C. Caullet, and G. Thirot, Bull. Soc. chim. France,

1971, 4129.

¹³ M. Berthelot, C. Laurence, and B. Wojtkowiak, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1973, 662.

^{*} The difference $\rho_p - \rho_m$ is tested by calculating the value $t = (\rho_p - \rho_m)/s$ where s is the standard deviation of $\rho_p - \rho_m$. Tables of t are referred to for the corresponding degree of freedom. † For $\sigma^0 f = 0.28$ and $\sigma^n f = 0.26$. However this is not conclusive evidence of the superiority of Wepster's values, since the substituent p-NH₂, for which σ^n and σ^0 diverge most, has not been studied.

and certain restrictions observed for -R substituents ¹³ do not provide conclusive evidence of the generality of this new equation.

EXPERIMENTAL

Method.—The ratios of formation constants were obtained from the I_2 absorption at 550 nm and higher wavelengths by the procedure described previously.¹

Materials.---Iodine was resublimed under reduced pressure and stored in a vacuum desiccator. Acetophenones were recrystallised several times from light petroleum (p-OMe), fractionally crystallised (p-Cl, m-Cl, m-Br), or fractionally distilled on a Podbielnak column (p-Me, m-Me, p-F, p-CF₃, m-CF₃, m-OMe, H).

Solutions.—Microburettes (5 ml) were used to prepare solutions in heptane (Merck Uvasol).

Spectra.—These were recorded on a Beckman DK 2A spectrophotometer with fused silica cells of 1.0 cm optical path, with a thermostatted cell compartment at $25 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$.

[4/638 Received, 28th March, 1974]